

## REMARKS

This amendment responds supplements the amendment filed on April 2, 2004, which in turn was filed in response to the office action dated October 2, 2003.

The present supplemental amendment simply adds new claim 29, which includes the limitations of a “first panel displaying on said display . . . a first time, where said first time is the earliest time displayed on said display in response to said first signal; and a second time, said second time being a later time displayed on said display in response to said first signal and a time incrementally earlier than an end of a time range associated with said first panel” and in response to selecting a second record, displaying “a second panel, said second panel having . . . an associated time range extending from a third time to a time incrementally later than a fourth time, said third and said fourth times both being times that are, respectively, one of times later than said second time and times earlier than said first time and where neither of said third and said fourth times were displayed on said first panel.”

As stated in the applicant’s response of April 2, 2004, these limitations are not disclosed in the combination of Schein and Lemmons, cited by the Examiner in the office action dated October 2, 2003 when rejecting a similar independent claim (claim 1). The Examiner’s rejection was based on the view that if Schein were modified by Lemmons to include a display showing a time range greater than one hour, then scrolling in the increments disclosed by both Schein and Lemmons would produce the claimed second panel. However, given this combination, scrolling in these increments would produce a second panel in which at least one of said third time or said fourth time displayed on the second panel would also have been displayed on the first panel. For that reason, independent claim 29 is patentably distinguished over the cited combination. The applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner allow independent claim 29.

///

///

///

///

///

///

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, reconsideration and allowance of claims 21-40 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Kurt A. Rohlfs  
Registration No. 54,405  
Attorney for Applicants